



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/697,804

10/31/2003

Scott K. Brown

10587.0367-00000

1159

100692

7590

05/31/2012

AOL Inc./Finnegan
901 New York Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20001

EXAMINER

SWEARINGEN, JEFFREY R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2445

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/31/2012

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/697,804	Applicant(s) BROWN ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey R. Swearingen	Art Unit 2445	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey R. Swearingen. (3) ____.

(2) Cory Bell. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

In the Non-Final Office Action of 9/2/2011, Examiner Swearingen indicated that Applicant's representative should contact the Examiner to discuss allowable subject matter. See page 15. In the Final Office Action of 1/20/2012, on page 1 it was indicated that Applicant's representative should contact the Examiner to discuss allowable subject matter. A pre-appeal brief was filed on 4/20/2012. On 5/22/12 and 5/23/12, the Examiner again attempted to contact Greg Gramenopoulos and Cory Bell by telephone regarding scheduling an interview to place this application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representatives have not returned the Examiner's phone calls at the time of mailing this interview summary. This application can be placed in allowable form if Applicant's representatives are willing to discuss the application with the Examiner.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Jeffrey R Swearingen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445	
---	--